



1772

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/502,473
Filing Date	August 30, 2004
First Named Inventor	Mark Edward Dawes
Art Unit	1772
Examiner Name	Christopher P. Bruenjes
Attorney Docket No.	DTG1-120US

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/Declaration(s)

<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request

<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request

<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement

<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)

<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers

<input type="checkbox"/> Petition

<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application

<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation,
Change of Correspondence
Address

<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer

<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund

<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication
to TC

<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences

<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply
Brief)

<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information

<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please
identify below):

Return Postcard |
|---|--|---|

Remarks:**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AGENT**

Firm Name	RatnerPrestia		
Signature			
Printed Name	Frank P. Tise		
Date	September 11, 2006	Registration No.	50,379

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION / MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or Printed Name	Gayle D. Bay	Date	September 11, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No: 10/502,473
Applicant: Mark Edward Dawes, et al
Filed: August 30, 2004
Title: HEAT-SEALABLE AND SHRINKABLE MULTI-LAYER POLYMERIC FILM
TC/A.U.: 1772
Examiner: Christopher P. Bruenjes
Confirm. No.: 3902
Docket No.: DTG1-120US

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S I R :

This is in response to the Restriction Requirement stated in the Office Letter dated **August 16, 2006**.

The Examiner requires that claims from one of the following groups be elected for prosecution.

- (1) Group I, claims 1-23, drawn to a tube; and
- (2) Group II, claims 24-25 and 36, drawn to a film; and
- (3) Group III, claims 26, 28-29, and 32-33, drawn to a method of making a film; and
- (4) Group IV, claims 27-29 and 32-33, drawn to a method of making a tube; and
- (5) Group V, claims 30-33, drawn to a method of sealing a container, and
- (6) Group VI, claims 34-35, drawn to a container.


The applicants elect to prosecute the claims of Group I, claims 1-23. This election is made with traverse, for the following reasons.

The applicants submit that Groups I - VI do in fact share the same or corresponding special technical features, because they share at least all of the same technical features relating to the recited separating means. The issue of whether the special technical features provide a contribution over the prior art has no bearing on whether Groups I - VI share the same features, which is the relevant question.

Further, even if the issue of contribution over the prior art were in fact germane to unity of invention, the applicants point out that this issue has not been

decided since there has been no prosecution of the claims on the merits. For at least these reasons, the restriction requirement should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,



Frank P. Tise Reg. No. 50,379
Agent for Applicants


FPT/gdb

Dated: September 11, 2006

P.O. Box 1596
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 778-2600

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. **18-0350** of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on: **September 11, 2006.**


Gayle D. Bay